

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Carson
Given Name	Phil
Person ID	1285900
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Carson
Given Name	Phil
Person ID	1285900
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	As stated previously - highway recorded as already over capacity - report states no impact to highways - how can this be, surely this is fraud.
Family Name	Carson
Given Name	Phil
Person ID	1285900
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	More cars = more carbon, more strain on health, educational and transport infrastructure = less resilience.
Family Name	Carson
Given Name	Phil
Person ID	1285900
Title	JPA 34 M6 Junction 25
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Clearly states that the local roads are already over capacity, then states no effect on local highways, how can this be - send someone to sit in the local traffic - these 500 houses could add 1000 cars to an already over capacity infrastructure.</p> <p>People will not walk to the local stations and bus stops as they are too far away, has anybody actually walked the journey physically before saying this is possible.</p> <p>Says no issue with local health or education facilities - you try getting an appointment at any of the local doctors.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters	Scrap it

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

you have identified above.	
Family Name	Carson
Given Name	Phil
Person ID	1285900
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Clearly states that the local roads are already over capacity, then states no effect on local highways, how can this be - send someone to sit in the local traffic - these 500 houses could add 1000 cars to an already over capacity infrastructure.</p> <p>People will not walk to the local stations and bus stops as they are too far away, has anybody actually walked the journey physically before saying this is possible.</p> <p>Says no issue with local health or education facilities - you try getting an appointment at any of the local doctors.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Scrap it
Family Name	Carson
Given Name	Phil
Person ID	1285900
Title	JPA 36: Pocket Nook
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Clearly states that the local roads are already over capacity, then states no effect on local highways, how can this be - send someone to sit in the local traffic - these 500 houses could add 1000 cars to an already over capacity infrastructure.</p> <p>People will not walk to the local stations and bus stops as they are to far away, has anybody actually walked the journey physically before saying this is possible.</p> <p>Says no issue with local health or education facilities - you try getting an appointment at any of the local doctors.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Scrap it
Family Name	Carson
Given Name	Phil
Person ID	1285900
Title	JPA 37: West of Gibfield
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Clearly states that the local roads are already over capacity, then states no effect on local highways, how can this be - send someone to sit in the local traffic - these 500 houses could add 1000 cars to an already over capacity infrastructure.</p> <p>People will not walk to the local stations and bus stops as they are to far away, has anybody actually walked the journey physically before saying this is possible.</p> <p>Says no issue with local health or education facilities - you try getting an appointment at any of the local doctors.</p>

<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>Scrap it completely.</p>
---	-----------------------------